



## ASSESSMENT OF DIVERSITY FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENT TRAITS IN F<sub>2</sub> POPULATION OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum* L.) UNDER SALINITY CONDITIONS

Satender Yadav\*, Y.P.S. Solanki, Vikram Singh, Shikha Yashveer<sup>1</sup> and Hari Kesh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar – 125 004, Haryana (India)

\*e mail: satenderyadav.satu@gmail.com

(Received 19 January, 2018; accepted 11 July, 2018)

### ABSTRACT

Genetic diversity analysis of yield and yield contributing traits in F<sub>2</sub> population of wheat cross 'Kharchia 65 x HD 2851' was estimated, based on D<sup>2</sup>-statistics, for 100 selected phenotypically superior plants that were grouped into 13 clusters. Clustering pattern revealed that cluster II and IV were the largest ones with 14 genotypes. The highest inter-cluster distance was observed between clusters VII and VIII (11.67), followed by between clusters VII and X (10.85), VII and IX (9.88) and VII and XII (9.88). This indicates that plants included in these clusters possess wide genetic diversity. Further, cluster VIII exhibited high mean value for number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup> (18.0), number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (36.0), grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (0.911 g) and biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (4.342 g). Cluster I showed highest mean value for the number of grains spikelet<sup>-1</sup> (3.0), moderate 1000-grain weight (32.95 g) and acceptable harvest index (34.6%). The clusters VII, I, II, III, XI and XII were outstanding on the basis of better cluster mean for most of the characters. The genotypes in these clusters should be selected and utilized to the advanced generation in a breeding program for improved salinity tolerance.

**Key words:** Cluster analysis, D<sup>2</sup>-statistics, genetic diversity, salinity, wheat

### INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the one of the important cereal crops of the world along with rice and maize as a source of human food and a major commodity in international grain market. Wheat is the 2<sup>nd</sup> largest cereal grown in India after rice. The total area under wheat crop during 2015-16 was 30.23 million ha with the production of 93.5 million t and average productivity of 30.93 q ha<sup>-1</sup> (IIW&BR, 2016). Wheat may be compared well with other cereals so far as nutritive values are concerned. It has good nutrition profile with 12.1% protein, 1.8% lipids, 1.8% ash, 2.0% reducing sugars, 6.7% pentans, 59.2% starch, 70% total carbohydrates and provides 314 kcal 100 g<sup>-1</sup> food (Lorenz and Kulp, 1991). It is also a good source of minerals and vitamins viz., calcium (37 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>), iron (4.1 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>), thiamine (0.45 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>), riboflavin (0.13 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup>) and nicotinic acid (5.4 mg 100 mg<sup>-1</sup>) [Lorenz and Kulp, 1991].

Wheat production and productivity directly influences human needs in developing countries and quality of life in developed countries (Dixon *et al.*, 2009). Productivity of a crop mainly depends on the genetic efficiency of crop, soil type, environmental conditions and the ability of a crop to overcome biotic and abiotic stresses. If any of these is unfavourable, the crop productivity tends to decrease. Therefore, to increase crop productivity the emphasis should be given to these important

aspects. To overcome climatic aberration, it is imperative to incorporate multiple stress-tolerant genes in crops to sustain crop production under changing climatic scenarios.

Salinity is one of the major abiotic stresses and yield limiting factor in irrigated and rain-fed agriculture cropping system, thereby reducing the plant growth and productivity worldwide as well as affecting about 7% of world's total land area. It causes undesirable effects on plant growth, development, physiological and biochemical changes, due to low osmotic potential of soil solution (osmotic stress), specific ion effects (salt stress), nutritional imbalance or a combination of these factors (Ashraf, 2004). In Asia, 21.5 million ha land area is affected by salinity causing an estimated 50% crop loss in fertile land (Nazar *et al.*, 2011). Increased salt tolerance in crops is widely recognized as an effective mean to overcome the limitations of crop production in salinized areas (Takeda *et al.*, 1995). For improving the salt stress tolerance of crop varieties by plant breeding, it is necessary to identify genotypes having tolerance to salt stress in all growth stages.

Genetic diversity serves as a way for populations to adapt for changing environments. With more variation, it is more likely that some individuals in a population will possess variations of alleles that are suited for the environment. Genetic diversity plays an important role in the survival and adaptability of a species because when the environment changes, slight gene variations are necessary to produce changes in the species that enables it to adapt and survive. A species that possesses a large degree of genetic diversity among its population will have more variations from which to choose the fit alleles. One of the potent techniques for assessing genetic divergence is  $D^2$  statistic proposed by Mahalanobis (1936). Genetic diversity is the basis of plant breeding produced due to inherent genetic differences in plant species and is of major interest to the plant breeder. This technique measures the forces of differentiation at two levels viz., intra- and inter-cluster that helps in the selection of genetically divergent parents for exploitation in hybridization programmes. Genetic diversity plays an important role in plant breeding either to exploit heterosis or to generate productive recombinants. Therefore, the present study was aimed to evaluate the extent of genetic variation in  $F_2$  population of wheat on the basis of agronomic traits so as to screen superior plants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted with 100 phenotypically superior  $F_2$  plants of wheat (selected on the basis of phenotypic traits like grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> and grains ear<sup>-1</sup>) along with their parents to assess the degree of divergence among them. The  $F_1$  seeds of 'Kharchia 65 x HD 2851'  $F_1$  were procured from Wheat & Barley Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCSHAU, Hisar (India). Variety 'Kharchia 65' is salt tolerant and can grow and set seeds up to pH 9.4 but its seeds are red colour and the crop is susceptible to lodging. 'HD 2851' is early maturing variety (126-134 days) and on maturity attains a height of 80-90 cm. The variety is very good for bread making with protein content of 11-12%. The  $F_1$  seeds were sown in pots in a net house during *rabi* 2013-2014 in the Research Area of the Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCSHAU, Hisar. The recommended agronomic practices were followed for raising a good wheat crop (CCSHAU, 2014). Hoagland's nutrient solution was given to the plants growing in pots in net house 10 days after seed sowing. Four treatments of salt solution of 8 dS m<sup>-1</sup> EC were given, two at sowing time and two 20 days after sowing. At maturity, the final soil EC was 12. The composition of Hoagland's nutrient solution were as follow: macronutrient stock solution A (g L<sup>-1</sup>): Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> - 364.09; KNO<sub>3</sub> - 221.3; MgSO<sub>4</sub> - 217.6 and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> - 62.1, micronutrient stock solution B (g L<sup>-1</sup>): ZnSO<sub>4</sub> - 0.097; H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> - 1.26; Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> - 0.4; CuSO<sub>4</sub> - 0.33 and MnSO<sub>4</sub> - 0.6; stock solution C (g L<sup>-1</sup>): tartaric acid - 4.0 and FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O - 5.0. Separate stock solutions of solution A, B and C were prepared. Then, 62.5 mL each of solution A and B and 15 mL of solution C were mixed and diluted to 25 L. The different salts used to make salt solution included NaCl - 3.0686 (g L<sup>-1</sup>); CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O -

0.965 (g L<sup>-1</sup>); MgCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O - 0.76 (g L<sup>-1</sup>) and MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O - 3.88 (g L<sup>-1</sup>). Solution A (50 mL), solution B (12.5 mL) and solution C (3 mL) of Hoagland's solution were added to prepare a stock of salt solution to get 5 L salt solution of EC ~ 8 dS m<sup>-1</sup>. The observations were recorded on individual plants of F<sub>2</sub> population with respect to 10 morphological characters viz., plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, ear length, number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains spikelet<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup>, 1000 grain weight, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and harvest index. Mahalanobis (1936) D<sup>2</sup>-statistic analysis was used for the estimation of genetic divergence among 49 genotypes used. The clustering of D<sup>2</sup> values was formed by using Tocher's method as described by Rao (1952).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

One hundred phenotypically superior under salinity F<sub>2</sub> plants of wheat along with their parents were grouped into 13 clusters based on the relative magnitude of their D<sup>2</sup> values, in such a way that genotypes within each cluster had smaller D<sup>2</sup> value than between the clusters. The composition of clusters has been depicted in Table 1. The distribution pattern of genotypes showed that cluster II and IV had maximum number of genotypes (14), each followed cluster IX, 1, XI, XIII, III, VI and VI with 13, 12, 11, 10, 6, 6 and 4 genotypes, respectively. The remaining clusters had 3 genotypes each. Salt tolerant parent cv. 'Kharchia 65' was grouped in cluster I F<sub>2</sub> plants whereas cv. 'HD 2851' was grouped in cluster II F<sub>2</sub> plants on the basis of clustering approach. Genotypes within a cluster were less diverse among themselves. Both the parents fell in different clusters which clearly revealed that they are diverse. Kumar *et al.* (2009), Arya *et al.* (2017), Pandey *et al.* (2017) and Singh *et al.* (2017) also reported similar results. Prasad *et al.* (2015) classified 50 genotypes of wheat into 3 clusters with 11, 24 and 15 genotypes in I, II and III cluster, respectively. Singh *et al.*

**Table 1: Clustering of 100 selected F<sub>2</sub> plants and their parent of wheat for intra-cluster distance**

| Clusters | F <sub>2</sub> population | Number of the entry                                                        |
|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I        | 12                        | 7, 279, 237, 421, 124, 128, 319, 246, 382, 390, 384 and Kharchia 65        |
| II       | 14                        | 53, 232, 239, 146, 361, 173, 230, 398, 295, 385, 190, 343, 207 and HD 2851 |
| III      | 6                         | 9, 81, 266, 399, 23 and 92                                                 |
| IV       | 14                        | 8, 165, 270, 75, 272, 71, 115, 285, 269, 137, 290, 215, 287 and 441        |
| V        | 3                         | 172, 296 and 314                                                           |
| VI       | 6                         | 22, 349, 233, 369, 274 and 448                                             |
| VII      | 3                         | 188, 444 and 405                                                           |
| VIII     | 3                         | 29, 212 and 119                                                            |
| IX       | 13                        | 58, 393, 169, 439, 13, 105, 67, 94, 103, 275, 134, 303 and 123             |
| X        | 4                         | 150, 186, 95 and 142                                                       |
| XI       | 11                        | 56, 312, 104, 219, 181, 74, 135, 262, 261, 324 and 41                      |
| XII      | 3                         | 223, 243 and 70                                                            |
| XIII     | 10                        | 147, 289, 179, 383, 129, 310, 267, 403, 43 and 200                         |

(2017) clustered 108 wheat genotypes in 4 groups for salinity and water logging tolerance. Singh *et al.* (2009) also classified 300 wheat genotypes in 7 clusters based on agronomic features and salt tolerance using non-hierarchical Euclidean cluster analysis. Because a breeding program mainly depends on the magnitude of genetic variability, morphological characterizations is an important step in the description and classification of crop germplasm Piyada *et al.* (2010).

The inter-cluster distance was higher than intra-cluster, suggesting wide genetic diversity among F<sub>2</sub> plants (Table 2). The inter-cluster distance varied from 2.58 to 11.67. Maximum inter-cluster distance was observed between cluster VII and cluster VIII (11.67) followed by between cluster VII and X (10.85),

**Table 2: Intra- and inter-clusters among 100 selected F<sub>2</sub> plants of wheat**

| Clusters | I           | II          | III         | IV          | V           | VI          | VII         | VIII        | IX          | X           | XI          | XII         | XIII        |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| I        | <b>1.69</b> | 2.58        | 4.42        | 2.78        | 4.29        | 3.80        | 6.58        | 7.39        | 4.22        | 5.96        | 2.62        | 4.67        | 3.91        |
| II       |             | <b>2.47</b> | 4.06        | 3.28        | 4.39        | 3.37        | 5.90        | 7.42        | 5.04        | 6.24        | 3.88        | 5.31        | 4.64        |
| III      |             |             | <b>3.61</b> | 4.86        | 5.52        | 4.55        | 5.68        | 7.84        | 6.35        | 7.18        | 5.51        | 6.53        | 4.74        |
| IV       |             |             |             | <b>1.74</b> | 3.09        | 3.21        | 8.01        | 5.96        | 2.93        | 4.02        | 2.68        | 4.22        | 3.44        |
| V        |             |             |             |             | <b>1.76</b> | 3.59        | 8.86        | 3.95        | 3.80        | 3.66        | 4.28        | 4.07        | 4.29        |
| VI       |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.01</b> | 6.85        | 6.59        | 4.85        | 4.76        | 4.83        | 6.06        | 4.70        |
| VII      |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.74</b> | 11.67       | 9.88        | 10.85       | 8.44        | 9.88        | 8.44        |
| VIII     |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.55</b> | 5.64        | 4.65        | 6.82        | 5.22        | 6.28        |
| IX       |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.28</b> | 3.63        | 3.02        | 3.89        | 3.73        |
| X        |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>1.58</b> | 5.31        | 5.72        | 4.92        |
| XI       |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>1.63</b> | 3.63        | 3.56        |
| XII      |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.69</b> | 4.76        |
| XIII     |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             | <b>2.77</b> |

VII and IX (9.88) and VII and XII (9.88). However, minimum distance was observed between cluster I and II (2.58) indicating close relationship between these clusters would not provide good results. The greater distance between clusters indicates broad spectrum of divergence and may produce desirable transgressive segregants. This would be useful in wheat breeding programme for developing high yield potential varieties. When diversity was studied within the clusters based on intra-cluster D<sup>2</sup> values, it showed a range of 1.58 to 3.61. Cluster X had minimum intra-cluster value (1.58) indicating a minimum difference among the genotypes grouped in this cluster. The maximum intra-cluster D<sup>2</sup> value was observed for cluster III (3.61), followed by cluster XIII (2.77) and cluster VII (2.74) which revealed the existence of maximum differences among the genotypes that fall in these clusters. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (2009), Naik *et al.* (2016), Singh and Kumar (2017) and Ali *et al.* (2017).

Since improvement in yield and other related characters is a basic objective in any breeding programme, therefore cluster means for seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and its major components need to be considered for the selection of genotypes. The data revealed considerable differences among all the clusters for most of the characters studied (Table 3). The cluster means analyzed for 10 characters under study revealed that Cluster VII exhibited highest mean value plant height (89.23 cm), ear length (9.37 cm), number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup> (18.0), number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (36.0), grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>

**Table 3: Mean values of different cluster for 10 traits in wheat**

| Characters | Plant height (cm) | Tillers plant <sup>-1</sup> (No.) | Ear length (cm) | Spikelets spike <sup>-1</sup> | Grains spikelet <sup>-1</sup> | Grains ear <sup>-1</sup> (No) | 1000-grain weight (g) | Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | Biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Clusters   | (cm)              | (No.)                             | (cm)            | spike <sup>-1</sup>           | spikelet <sup>-1</sup>        | (No)                          | (g)                   | plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)             | plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)                  | (%)               |
| I          | 66.4              | 1.02                              | 5.86            | 9.42                          | 3.00                          | 18.00                         | 32.9                  | 0.588                               | 1.711                                    | 34.6              |
| II         | 62.4              | 1.00                              | 6.87            | 11.59                         | 2.79                          | 21.93                         | 25.9                  | 0.565                               | 1.911                                    | 29.7              |
| III        | 61.7              | 2.17                              | 7.10            | 12.67                         | 2.67                          | 23.83                         | 23.2                  | 0.529                               | 2.201                                    | 26.0              |
| IV         | 50.2              | 1.00                              | 5.08            | 8.43                          | 3.00                          | 14.36                         | 24.1                  | 0.340                               | 1.162                                    | 30.6              |
| V          | 56.4              | 1.00                              | 4.53            | 6.67                          | 2.00                          | 11.68                         | 18.2                  | 0.215                               | 1.059                                    | 20.2              |
| VI         | 63.7              | 1.00                              | 6.50            | 10.67                         | 3.00                          | 17.68                         | 14.9                  | 0.257                               | 1.761                                    | 14.4              |
| VII        | 89.2              | 1.67                              | 9.37            | 18.00                         | 3.00                          | 36.00                         | 25.8                  | 0.911                               | 4.342                                    | 21.0              |
| VIII       | 31.8              | 1.33                              | 3.27            | 4.67                          | 1.00                          | 3.33                          | 16.8                  | 0.052                               | 0.402                                    | 12.5              |
| IX         | 40.9              | 1.00                              | 4.35            | 5.92                          | 3.00                          | 5.46                          | 32.9                  | 0.179                               | 0.563                                    | 31.7              |
| X          | 33.7              | 1.00                              | 3.67            | 4.50                          | 3.00                          | 3.00                          | 15.0                  | 0.040                               | 0.383                                    | 11.5              |
| XI         | 52.2              | 1.00                              | 4.68            | 7.18                          | 3.00                          | 15.54                         | 38.1                  | 0.476                               | 1.152                                    | 41.4              |
| XII        | 42.5              | 1.00                              | 4.60            | 7.00                          | 1.67                          | 8.00                          | 39.7                  | 0.315                               | 0.750                                    | 42.0              |
| XIII       | 53.3              | 2.00                              | 4.76            | 6.90                          | 3.00                          | 10.80                         | 31.8                  | 0.340                               | 1.109                                    | 29.8              |
| Mean       | 54.2              | 1.25                              | 5.43            | 8.74                          | 2.63                          | 14.59                         | 26.1                  | 0.369                               | 1.423                                    | 26.6              |

**Table 4: Diverse and superior F<sub>2</sub> plants from different clusters**

| F <sub>2</sub> plants | Cluster | Characters                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 444, 405              | VII     | Plant height, ear length, spikelets spike <sup>-1</sup> , grains ear <sup>-1</sup> , grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup>                        |
| 7, 128, 319           | I       | Plant height, tillers plant <sup>-1</sup> , grains ear <sup>-1</sup> , 1000 grain weight, grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> and harvest index |
| 190, 207              | II      | Plant height, ear length, spikelet spike <sup>-1</sup> , grains ear <sup>-1</sup> , grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup>                         |
| 9, 23, 92             | III     | Tillers plant <sup>-1</sup> , ear length, spikelet spike <sup>-1</sup> , grains ear <sup>-1</sup> , grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup>         |
| 74, 104, 262          | XI      | 1000-grain weight, grains ear <sup>-1</sup> and harvest index                                                                                                                        |
| 223, 243              | XII     | 1000-grain weight and harvest index                                                                                                                                                  |

(0.911 g) and biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (4.342 g). Cluster I showed highest mean value for number of grains spikelet<sup>-1</sup> (3.0), better 1000-grain weight (32.95 g) and harvest index (34.61%). However, maximum number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed in cluster III (2.17). Cluster XII revealed highest mean for 1000-grain weight and harvest index. Similar results have been reported by Shah *et al.* (2015), Tewari *et al.* (2015), Arya *et al.* (2017) and Kumar *et al.* (2017).

The cluster VII, I, II, III, XI and XII were ascertained outstanding on the basis of better cluster mean for most of the characters. Phenotypically superior genotypes, presented in Table 4, were selected from these clusters and character for which they perform well. The results demonstrated that there was a substantial genetic diversity between different progeny lines. The progeny lines identified from different clusters for various traits may be extended for further evaluation in next generation for the development of superior lines or varieties with desirable traits.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are grateful to Wheat & Barley Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCSHAU, Hisar (India) for providing the seeds of the cross between ‘Kharchia 65 x HD 2851’ for conducting the research.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, T., Singh, M.K., Bharadwaj, D.N. and Singh, L. 2017. Analysis of genetic divergence in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, **35**(3B): 2081-2083.
- Arya, V.K., Singh, J., Kumar, L., Kumar, R., Kumar, P. and Chand, P. 2017. Genetic variability and diversity analysis for yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **51**: 128-134.
- Ashraf, M. 2004. Some important physiological selection criteria for salt tolerance in plants. *Flora*, **199**: 361-376.
- CCSHAU. 2014. *Package of Practices for Rabi Crops*. CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India.
- Dixon, J., Braun, H.J. and Crouch, J. 2009. Transitioning wheat research to serve the future needs of the developing world. pp. 1-9. **In:** *Wheat Facts and Future* (eds. J. Dixon, H.J. Braun and P. Kosina), CYMMIT, Mexico.
- Kumar, A., Gaurav, S.S., Kumar, A. and Rathi, V. 2017. Genetic divergence analysis of agronomic traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**: 2683-2686.

- Kumar, B., Lal, G.M., Ruchi and Upadhyay, A. 2009. Genetic variability, diversity and association of quantitative traits with grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **1**: 4-6.
- Lorenz, K.J. and Kulp, K. 1991. *Handbook of Cereal Science and Technology*. Marcel Dekker, New York, USA.
- Mahalanobis, P.C. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proceedings National Academy of Science India*, **2**: 49-55.
- Naik, V.R., Biradar, S.S., Yadawad, A., Desai, S.A. and Veeresha, B.A. 2016. Assessing genetic diversity for yield and quality traits in germplasm lines of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Bioscan*, **11**: 3095-3098.
- Nazar, R., Iqbal, N., Syeed, S. and Khan, N.A. 2011. Salicylic acid alleviates decreases in photosynthesis under salt stress by enhancing nitrogen and sulfur assimilation and antioxidant metabolism differently in two mung bean cultivars. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **168**: 807-815.
- Pandey, M.K., Bind, H.N., Kumar, S. and Singh, B.N. 2017. Genetic divergence in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Thell.) under saline sodic condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**(5): 181-189.
- Piyada, T., Juthamas, T., Thongchai, P., Thanawit, T., Chutamas, P., Worapa, S., and Thitiporn, M. 2010. Variety identification and genetic relationships of mung bean and black gram in Thailand based on morphological characters and ISSR analysis. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **9**: 4452-4464.
- Prasad, I., Kulshreshtha, N., Chinchmalatpure, A.R. and Sharma, D.K. 2015. Genetic variability, trait association and multivariate analysis of elite CIMMYT wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm for yield and sodicity tolerance. *Journal of Soil Salinity and Water Quality*, **7**: 115-123.
- IIW&BR. 2016. *Project Directorate Report 2015-2016*. Indian Institute of Wheat & Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Rao, C.R. 1952. *Advance Statistical Method in Biometrical Research*. John Wiley, New York, USA.
- Shah, S., Mehta, D.R. and Raval, L. 2015. Variability analysis and multivariate analysis in bread wheat [*Triticum aestivum* L.]. *The Bioscan*, **10**: 1515-1519.
- Singh, D., Sing, S.K. and Singh, K.N. 2009. Diversity of salt resistance in a large germplasm collection of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Crop Improvement*, **36**: 9-12.
- Singh, G. and Kumar, R. 2017. Genetic diversity for yield and yield traits in wheat. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**(5): 2062-2066.
- Singh, G., Kulshreshtha, N., Singh, B.N., Setter, T.L., Singh, M.K., Saharan, M.S. and Sharma, I. 2014. Germplasm characterization, association and clustering for salinity and waterlogging tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **84**: 1102-1110.
- Singh, T., Singh, V., Singh, K.P., Verma, O.P., Mishra, S., Srivastava, A. and Ahmad, R. 2017. D<sup>2</sup> analysis in certain promising genotypes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**(5): 2714-2717.
- Takeda, K., Zhao, L., Zhong, J., Liu, U. and Mano, Y. 1995. Selection tests of barley and wheat varieties in Heilonggang region of China. pp. 87-90. **In**: *Proceedings of China-Japan Joint Symposium: Impacts of Salinization and Acidification on Terrestrial Ecosystems and their Rehabilitation in East Asia*. November 3-5, 1994, Beijing, China.
- Tewari, R., Jaiswal, J.P., Gangwar, R.P. and Singh, P.K. 2015. Genetic diversity analysis in exotic germplasm accessions of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by cluster analysis. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **6**: 1111-1117.